

# Exponential reduction of finite volume effects with twisted boundary conditions

Aleksey Cherman,<sup>1,\*</sup> Srimoyee Sen,<sup>2,†</sup> Michael L. Wagman,<sup>1,3,‡</sup> and Laurence G. Yaffe<sup>3,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Nuclear Theory, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195 USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721 USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195 USA*

Flavor-twisted boundary conditions can be used for exponential reduction of finite volume artifacts in flavor-averaged observables in lattice QCD calculations with  $SU(N_f)$  light quark flavor symmetry. Finite volume artifact reduction arises from destructive interference effects in a manner closely related to the phase averaging which leads to large  $N_c$  volume independence. With a particular choice of flavor-twisted boundary conditions, finite volume artifacts for flavor-singlet observables in a hypercubic spacetime volume are reduced to the size of finite volume artifacts in a spacetime volume with periodic boundary conditions that is four times larger.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Many questions about QCD and related strongly coupled 4D quantum field theories can only be systematically addressed using lattice gauge theory simulations. Numerical calculations are necessarily performed in finite Euclidean spacetime volumes, so extraction of physical quantities of interest in a theory defined on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  always involves extrapolation to the infinite-volume limit as well as the continuum limit. Performing this extrapolation with controlled errors requires additional simulations using multiple lattices of varying size and/or reliable independent knowledge of the volume dependence of observables.

This paper presents a technique to reduce the size of finite volume artifacts for flavor singlet observables in gauge theories with a vector-like  $SU(N_f)_V$  flavor symmetry.<sup>1</sup> We assume throughout that the lightest hadronic states in the theory under study are flavor non-singlet mesons, and also assume that the box size  $L$  (and inverse temperature  $\beta$ ) are large compared to the inverse of the appropriate strong scale,  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1}$ . In particular, our results are applicable to QCD in the isospin-symmetric limit of degenerate up and down quark masses. In a hypercubic box with sides of length  $L$ , our technique eliminates the leading  $O(e^{-m_\pi L})$  finite-volume effects, where  $m_\pi$  is the mass of the lightest meson. The surviving residual finite volume artifacts in, for example, hadron masses are exponentially smaller and scale as  $O(e^{-\sqrt{2}m_\pi L})$ , as discussed below. When applied to calculations of flavor-singlet observables (i.e., observables invariant under the  $SU(N_f)_V$  symmetry) in a spacetime volume  $\mathcal{V} = \beta L^3$ , with  $\beta \geq L$ , our prescription leads to finite volume artifacts comparable to those which arise with periodic boundary conditions in a larger spacetime box of volume  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = 4\mathcal{V}\sqrt{(1+L^2/\beta^2)}/2$ . Spectroscopy also benefits from reduced contamination from backwards-propagating thermal artifacts. Finite volume effects associated with multi-hadron interactions receive calculable modifications and are not generically exponentially reduced.

The technique we describe uses flavor-twisted boundary conditions (TBCs). These have a long history in lattice QCD [2–24], but the main focus of most prior work has involved the use of TBCs for valence quarks to probe a finer set of momenta than are allowed by conventional periodic boundary conditions (PBCs) for a given box size. The utility of TBCs to reduce finite-volume artifacts in the context of lattice QCD was recently explored in ref. [2] (see also ref. [3]). Our results generalize some

\* [aleksey.cherman.physics@gmail.com](mailto:aleksey.cherman.physics@gmail.com)

† [srimoyee08@gmail.com](mailto:srimoyee08@gmail.com)

‡ [mlwagman@uw.edu](mailto:mlwagman@uw.edu)

§ [yaffe@phys.washington.edu](mailto:yaffe@phys.washington.edu)

<sup>1</sup> Other proposals for exponential reduction of finite volume artifacts using related methods and other techniques have previously been explored in refs. [1–3].

of the boundary conditions discussed in ref. [2], and provide general symmetry-based arguments demonstrating that they reduce finite-volume artifacts in generic flavor-singlet systems. The basic technical ideas underpinning our proposal are the freedom to view twisted boundary conditions as particular choices for holonomies of background flavor gauge fields, and the ability to write observables in a form where effects of background gauge transformations are manifest.

To illustrate the essential concepts, let us consider QCD with a single compactified direction, say the Euclidean time direction  $x_4$ . Impose on the quark field  $q(x)$ , transforming in the fundamental representation of the flavor symmetry group  $SU(N_f)_V$ , the periodicity condition

$$q(\mathbf{x}, x_4 + \beta) = \pm \Omega_4 q(\mathbf{x}, x_4). \quad (1)$$

Here  $\beta$  is the circumference of the  $x_4$  direction and  $\Omega_4$  is an element of  $SU(N_f)$  which is naturally viewed as an  $N_f \times N_f$  unitary matrix. A global flavor transformation,  $U \in SU(N_f)_V$ , acts on quark fields as  $q(x) \rightarrow U q(x)$  and consequently has the effect of conjugating the periodicity condition,  $\Omega_4 \rightarrow U^\dagger \Omega_4 U$ . Hence, only the conjugacy class of  $\Omega_4$  is physically significant. A generic choice of  $\Omega_4$  breaks the  $SU(N_f)_V$  flavor symmetry to its Cartan subgroup  $U(1)^{N_f-1}$ . As illustrated explicitly in Section IV, a generic holonomy  $\Omega_4$  may induce finite-volume mass splittings within the lightest meson multiplet, with non-trivial mixing among the neutral mesons (those invariant under the Cartan subgroup), but no mixing between mesons with different  $U(1)^{N_f-1}$  charges.

Three different perspectives on the “twist”  $\Omega_4$  are useful. One is to view  $\Omega_4$  as defining a twisted boundary condition, as introduced in Eq. (1). Alternatively, one may perform a field redefinition which makes the (redefined) quark field strictly periodic (or anti-periodic) in  $x_4$ , at the cost of introducing a set of imaginary chemical potentials  $\mu = i\alpha/\beta$ , with  $e^{i\alpha}$  the eigenvalues of  $\Omega_4$ . Finally, thanks to the well-known relation between imaginary chemical potentials and background gauge fields, one may also view the twist as the holonomy of a background  $SU(N_f)$  flavor gauge field  $\mathcal{A}_4$ ,  $\Omega_4 = \mathcal{P} e^{i \oint dx_4 \mathcal{A}_4}$ .

The conventional choice of Euclidean-time boundary conditions for fermionic fields corresponds to selecting the minus sign in Eq. (1) and setting  $\Omega_4 = 1_{N_f}$ . With this choice, the Euclidean path integral computes the thermal partition function,  $Z(\beta) \equiv \text{tr } e^{-\beta H}$ , with  $H$  the Hamiltonian. Choosing  $\Omega_4 \neq 1_{N_f}$ , and/or the plus sign in the periodicity condition is also perfectly permissible, but gives a functional integral which computes a *twisted* partition function. For example, if one chooses the periodicity condition  $q(\mathbf{x}, x_4 + \beta) = +\Omega_4 q(\mathbf{x}, x_4)$  then the Euclidean functional integral yields

$$\tilde{Z}(\beta; \alpha) \equiv \text{tr} [(-1)^F e^{-\beta H} e^{i \sum_{k=1}^{N_f} \alpha_k Q_k}], \quad (2)$$

where  $F$  is total fermion number,  $\{Q_k\}$  are the conserved flavor charges which count the net number of fermions of flavor  $k$  (and represent the Cartan elements of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}(N_f)$ ), and  $\{e^{i\alpha_k}\}$  are the eigenvalues of the twist  $\Omega_4$ .

All eigenstates of the Hamiltonian contribute positively in the thermal partition function  $Z(\beta)$ , with their weights determined only by their energy. In contrast, in the twisted partition function  $\tilde{Z}(\beta, \alpha)$  states with different values of the commuting flavor charges  $Q_k$  receive distinct phases. There is value in considering twisted partition functions even if one’s primary interest involves thermal physics at finite  $\beta$ . The symmetry-based cancellations inherent in  $\tilde{Z}$  allow one to focus on the behavior of particular symmetry sectors of states by suitably dialing the boundary conditions. Twisted partition functions become even more useful when one’s primary interest involves vacuum properties, and hence the  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  limit. As we will see, cancellations among states in the twisted partition function can be arranged to eliminate the leading finite- $\beta$  dependence, accelerating the convergence to the  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  limit. This idea has been previously exploited in the literature on

large  $N_c$  twisted-Eguchi-Kawai reduction [25–27] and in the literature on adiabatic circle compactifications [28–31]; a number of our ideas were inspired by these works. As this paper was being finalized, ref. [32] appeared with a systematic exploration of twisted boundary conditions and volume dependence in  $CP(N)$  and  $O(N)$  sigma models.

To be specific, consider the dependence on  $\beta$  of the expectation value of some flavor-singlet local observable,  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ , assuming that the spatial box size  $L \gg \beta$ . Assume, for convenience, that  $m_\pi \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ , so that the pions, or more generally, the pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone bosons (pNGBs) of  $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \rightarrow SU(N_f)_V$  chiral symmetry breaking, are much lighter than all other hadronic states, and also assume, as stated earlier, that  $\beta \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1}$ . In this regime, chiral effective field theory provides a useful description of low temperature dynamics.<sup>2</sup> Leading finite- $\beta$  effects will come from pion loops, with the chiral loop expansion controlled by the small parameter  $m_\pi^2 / (4\pi f_\pi)^2 \ll 1$ , with  $f_\pi \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ . The resulting chiral expansion for the expectation value  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$  will have the form

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle(\beta) = \mathcal{O}_0 + \frac{m_\pi^2}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \mathcal{O}_1(m_\pi\beta, \Omega_4) + \dots, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is a no-pion-loop contact term and  $\mathcal{O}_1$  is the one pion loop contribution. This term will depend on the dimensionless ratio  $m_\pi\beta$  as well as the flavor twist  $\Omega_4$ , and may be decomposed into a sum of terms coming from a given winding number of the pion around the compact direction. For large  $\beta$ , winding number  $n$  contributions must fall exponentially as  $O(e^{-|n|m_\pi\beta})$ . The key point is that background flavor gauge invariance constrains the dependence of each such term on the holonomy  $\Omega_4$ . If  $\mathcal{O}$  is a flavor singlet, then the contribution to  $\mathcal{O}_1$  from winding number  $n$  must involve an appropriate group invariant constructed from  $(\Omega_4)^n$ . Because the pNGBs transform in the adjoint representation of  $SU(N_f)_V$ , this invariant is simply the adjoint representation trace. Consequently,

$$\mathcal{O}_1(m_\pi\beta, \Omega_4) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(m_\pi\beta) \text{tr}_{\text{Adj}} [\Omega_4^n], \quad (4)$$

with  $f_n(m_\pi\beta) \sim e^{-|n|m_\pi\beta}$  at large  $\beta$  and the zero winding term  $f_0$  being  $\beta$ -independent. The representation (4) shows that  $\beta$ -dependent one-pion-loop contributions necessarily involve a single adjoint trace of the holonomy. Note that adjoint representation traces ( $\text{tr}_{\text{Adj}}$ ) can always be rewritten in terms of fundamental representation traces ( $\text{tr}_F$ ) for the group  $SU(N_f)$ , namely  $\text{tr}_{\text{Adj}} \Omega = |\text{tr}_F \Omega|^2 - 1$ .

Consequently, to eliminate the leading  $\beta$  dependence one may choose any flavor holonomy  $\Omega_4$  for which  $\text{tr}_{\text{Adj}} \Omega_4 = 0$ . Such a choice of holonomy produces an exponential reduction in the size of the finite- $\beta$  artifacts. A particularly nice choice of the flavor holonomy will eliminate more than just winding number  $\pm 1$  contributions; in the one-pion-loop result  $\mathcal{O}_1$  it is possible to eliminate contributions from all winding numbers of magnitude less than  $N_f+1$ . Specifically, let us define  $\gamma \equiv e^{2\pi i/(N_f+1)}$ , and choose the holonomy  $\Omega_4$  to equal

$$\Gamma \equiv -\text{diag}(\gamma, \gamma^2, \dots, \gamma^{N_f}). \quad (5)$$

Up to an  $SU(N_f)$  similarity transformation, this is a unique  $SU(N_f)$  matrix that obeys the relation

$$\text{tr}_{\text{Adj}} \Gamma^n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for all } n \neq 0 \pmod{N_f+1}; \\ N_f^2-1, & \text{for all } n \text{ divisible by } N_f+1. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

---

<sup>2</sup> Chiral effective field theory has been used to study finite spacetime volume effects for a long time [33–50].

We will refer to  $\Gamma$  as the “vanishing-adjoint-trace” background flavor holonomy, and  $\Omega_4 = \Gamma$  boundary conditions as “vanishing adjoint” BCs.

Vanishing-adjoint boundary conditions with  $N_f = 2$  have been previously studied alongside other choices of twisted boundary conditions for few-baryon systems in ref. [2]. Vanishing-adjoint BCs were observed to remove the leading  $O(e^{-m_\pi L})$  finite volume corrections to flavor-averaged baryon masses. However, the vanishing-adjoint-trace mechanism and its implications for finite volume artifact reduction in other systems were not detailed in ref. [2].

When  $m_\pi \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$  and  $L, \beta \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1}$ , the leading finite-temperature and finite spatial-volume effects are accurately described by one-loop chiral effective theory (EFT). If in addition  $L \gg \beta$ , then the argument above shows that the vanishing-adjoint twisted boundary condition (5) eliminates the first  $N_f$  finite- $\beta$  corrections and leads to a dramatic decrease of the magnitude of finite- $\beta$  artifacts in the one-loop contribution to  $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ , from  $O(e^{-\beta m_\pi})$  to  $O(e^{-(N_f+1)\beta m_\pi})$ . In section V we discuss the extent to which this feature persists at higher loops.

If multiple compactified directions are of comparable size, then the analysis is somewhat more involved. To clarify the effects of choosing vanishing-adjoint boundary conditions on the size of both finite-volume and finite-temperature artifacts, in the remainder of this paper we explicitly compute the volume and temperature dependence of several flavor-singlet physical quantities in QCD-like theories compactified on  $T^4$  with twisted boundary conditions in all directions.

Throughout this paper we assume that: (i) the chosen numbers of colors ( $N_c$ ) and (complex) fundamental representation flavors ( $N_f$ ) place the theory (on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ) in a confining phase; (ii) there is an unbroken  $SU(N_f)_V$  flavor symmetry acting on the lightest quarks; and (iii) the mass  $m_q$  of these lightest quarks is sufficiently small so that the lightest hadrons are mesons, not glueballs (i.e.,  $m_q$  is not large compared to  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ ). Within the following three sections examining the free energy, pion propagator, and pion mass shift we will make one further simplifying assumption, namely that the theory is close to the chiral limit,  $m_q \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ . This will enable us to perform quantitative calculations using chiral perturbation theory. But, as we discuss in the concluding section, the utility of flavor-twisted boundary conditions for reducing finite volume effects does not require that the theory be parametrically close to the chiral limit. Rather, what is required is that the  $SU(N_f)$  multiplet of quarks not be so heavy that the lightest hadrons become flavor singlet states instead of flavor adjoints.

## II. FREE ENERGY

As a first example, we consider the free energy for a gauge theory with  $N_f$  light degenerate fundamental representation quarks on a large four-torus. In addition to its intrinsic interest, evaluation of the finite volume free energy allows one to compute finite volume corrections to the chiral condensate by taking a derivative with respect to the quark mass  $m_q$ . We denote the circumferences of the fundamental cycles of the  $T^4$  by  $L_\mu$ ,  $\mu = 1, \dots, 4$ . One may regard the torus as having a spatial volume  $V = L_1 L_2 L_3$  and temporal extent  $\beta = L_4$ . We impose twisted boundary conditions (TBCs) on the quark fields,

$$q(x_\mu + L_\mu) = \Omega_\mu q(x_\mu), \quad (7)$$

and require that the flavor holonomies  $\Omega_\mu$  be mutually commuting  $SU(N_f)$  matrices. For generic choices of commuting flavor holonomies, these boundary conditions explicitly break the  $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R$  chiral symmetry (of the massless theory) down to the maximal Abelian subgroup  $U(1)_L^{N_f-1} \times U(1)_R^{N_f-1}$ , with the size of the symmetry breaking scaling as  $1/L_\mu$ . Choosing a diagonal basis, without loss of generality, we define the twist angles  $\{\alpha_\mu^a\}$  via

$$(\Omega_\mu)^{ab} = e^{i\alpha_\mu^a} \delta^{ab}. \quad (8)$$

Here and henceforth we use lower case letters  $a, b, \dots = 1, \dots, N_f$  to denote fundamental representation  $SU(N_f)_V$  indices, and upper case letters  $A, B, \dots = 1, \dots, N_f^2 - 1$  to denote adjoint representation indices.

When  $\beta\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1}$  and  $L\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1}$  are both large, the twisted free energy will be dominated by the lightest modes in the system, the pNGB ‘pions’. A given pion  $\pi^{ab}$  receives a twist angle  $\alpha_\mu^{ab} \equiv \alpha_\mu^a - \alpha_\mu^b$ . Equivalently, using an adjoint representation basis the pion boundary conditions read

$$\pi^A(x_\mu + L_\mu) = \sum_B (\Omega_\mu)^A_B \pi^B(x_\mu). \quad (9)$$

Even though the adjoint representation is a real representation, it will be convenient to use a complex basis which diagonalizes the  $U(1)_V^{N_f-1}$  Cartan subgroup. The complex conjugate of the basis element with index  $A$  will be a basis element (which may be the same or different) whose index we will denote as  $\bar{A}$ .<sup>3</sup> Given such a choice of basis, the adjoint representation twists are diagonal,

$$(\Omega_\mu)^A_B = e^{i\alpha_\mu^A} \delta^A_B, \quad (10)$$

with  $\alpha_\mu^A \equiv 2 \text{tr}[t^A \alpha_\mu]$  and  $\alpha_\mu \equiv \|\alpha_\mu^{ab}\|$ . The adjoint basis matrices  $\{t^A\}$  are chosen to satisfy

$$\text{tr}(t^A t^{\bar{B}}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^A_B. \quad (11)$$

Having chosen a complex basis, it is helpful to use upper and lower indices to distinguish complex conjugation of generators, and to also define

$$g^{AB} \equiv 2 \text{tr}(t^A t^B) = \delta^{A\bar{B}}, \quad g_{AB} \equiv 2 \text{tr}(t^{\bar{A}} t^{\bar{B}}) = \delta^{\bar{A}\bar{B}}. \quad (12)$$

These function as the components of our metric (and its inverse) in the  $SU(N_f)_V$  Lie algebra. We will need symmetric structure constants in this basis, redundantly defined as

$$d^A_{BC} = 2 \text{tr}(t^A \{t^{\bar{B}}, t^{\bar{C}}\}), \quad d_A^{BC} = 2 \text{tr}(t^{\bar{A}} \{t^B, t^C\}). \quad (13)$$

(Antisymmetric structure constants are defined analogously but will not be needed below.)

Imposition of twisted boundary conditions is equivalent to working with periodic fields in the presence of background  $SU(N_f)_V$  gauge fields given by  $(\mathcal{A}_\mu)^{ab} = \alpha_\mu^a \delta^{ab}/L_\mu$ . We therefore define a background flavor covariant derivative  $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + i\mathcal{A}_\mu$ . The Euclidean chiral Lagrangian which describes the low-energy dynamics then takes the form

$$\mathcal{L} = f_\pi^2 \text{tr}[D_\mu \Sigma D_\mu \Sigma^\dagger - 2B(m_q^\dagger \Sigma + \Sigma^\dagger m_q)] + \dots, \quad (14)$$

up to four-derivative and higher terms. (For comparison to the literature, note that our conventions correspond to  $f_\pi \approx 46$  MeV for  $N_f = 2$  QCD.) Writing  $\Sigma = \exp(i\pi/f_\pi) \equiv \exp(\sum_A i\pi^A t^{\bar{A}}/f_\pi)$  and expanding in powers of the pion field  $\pi$  gives

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{tr}(D_\mu \pi D_\mu \pi) + m_\pi^2 \text{tr}(\pi^2) + \frac{1}{6} f_\pi^{-2} \text{tr}(\pi D_\mu \pi \pi D_\mu \pi - \pi^2 D_\mu \pi D_\mu \pi) - \frac{1}{12} m_\pi^2 f_\pi^{-2} \text{tr}(\pi^4) + \dots, \quad (15)$$

where we used  $m_\pi^2 \equiv 2B m_q$  and have suppressed terms of order  $\pi^6$  and higher.

<sup>3</sup> Under the adjoint action of the Cartan subgroup, there are  $N_f - 1$  basis elements, corresponding to neutral Nambu-Goldstone bosons, which are invariant and have  $\bar{A} = A$ , while  $N_f(N_f - 1)$  basis elements, corresponding to charged Nambu-Goldstone bosons, are non-invariant and have  $\bar{A} \neq A$ .

The logarithm of the twisted partition function defines the twisted free energy density,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\beta, V; \Omega_\mu) \equiv -(\ln \tilde{Z})/(\beta V)$ . For light pions and large volumes (compared to the scale  $f_\pi$ ), the free energy is dominated by freely-propagating pions. To lowest order  $\tilde{Z} = [\det(-D_\mu D^\mu + m_\pi^2)]^{-1/2}$  and hence

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\beta, V; \Omega) = \frac{1}{2\beta V} \sum_A \sum_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \ln [(\alpha_\mu^A + 2\pi n_\mu)^2 L_\mu^{-2} + m_\pi^2]. \quad (16)$$

This expression is UV divergent and requires regularization and renormalization. To extract the physical  $\beta$  and  $L$  dependent result it is convenient to write  $\ln x = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} dx^s/ds$  and subtract the corresponding decompactified  $\beta = L_i = \infty$  expression. Hence,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\beta, V; \Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \frac{\partial}{\partial s} T(s, \alpha_\mu^A) \Big|_{s=0}, \quad (17)$$

where  $T(s, \alpha_\mu)$  is the regularized tadpole sum,

$$T(s, \alpha_\mu) \equiv \frac{1}{\beta V} \sum_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} [(\alpha_\mu + 2\pi n_\mu)^2 L_\mu^{-2} + m_\pi^2]^{-s} - \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} (k^2 + m_\pi^2)^{-s}. \quad (18)$$

Algebraic manipulations (similar to those in, e.g., ref. [5]) allow one to put  $T(s, \alpha_\mu)$  into a more useful form,

$$\begin{aligned} T(s, \alpha_\mu) &= \Gamma(s)^{-1} \int_0^\infty dz z^{s-1} e^{-zm_\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta V} \sum_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} e^{-z(\alpha_\mu + 2\pi n_\mu)^2 L_\mu^{-2}} - \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-zk^2} \right] \\ &= \Gamma(s)^{-1} \int_0^\infty dz z^{s-1} e^{-zm_\pi^2} \left[ \prod_\mu \frac{1}{L_\mu} \vartheta \left[ \frac{\alpha_\mu}{0/2\pi} \right] (4\pi i z L_\mu^{-2}) - \int_0^\infty \frac{dk k^3}{8\pi^2} e^{-zk^2} \right] \\ &= \Gamma(s)^{-1} \int_0^\infty dz z^{s-1} e^{-zm_\pi^2} \left[ \prod_\mu \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi z}} \vartheta \left[ \frac{0}{\alpha_\mu/2\pi} \right] (-L_\mu^2/(4\pi i z)) - \frac{1}{(4\pi z)^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{m_\pi^2}{2\pi^2 \Gamma(s)} (2m_\pi)^{-s} \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} e^{in_\mu \alpha_\mu} |nL|^{s-2} K_{2-s}(m_\pi |nL|), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $|nL| \equiv (\sum_\mu n_\mu^2 L_\mu^2)^{1/2}$ . In the final expression (19), the prime on the sum is an indication to omit the  $n_\mu = (0, 0, 0, 0)$  term, and  $K_\nu(z)$  is the usual modified Bessel function. In the second line we recognized the appearance of a  $\vartheta$ -function with characteristics,  $\vartheta \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right] (\tau) \equiv \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i n \beta} e^{\pi i \tau (\alpha + n)^2}$ , which was converted to the form in the third line using the modular  $S$  transformation  $\vartheta \left[ \frac{a}{b} \right] (\tau) = \sqrt{i/\tau} e^{-2\pi i a b} \vartheta \left[ \frac{-b}{a} \right] (-1/\tau)$ . With the result (19) in hand, computing the free energy is straightforward. One finds

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\beta, V; \Omega) = \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} m_\pi^2 f_1^{(1)}(|nL|) \text{tr}_{\text{Adj}} [\Omega^n], \quad (20a)$$

where  $\Omega^n \equiv \Omega_1^{n_1} \Omega_2^{n_2} \Omega_3^{n_3} \Omega_4^{n_4}$  and

$$f_1^{(1)}(|nL|) \equiv K_2(m_\pi |nL|) / (2\pi |nL|)^2. \quad (20b)$$

As advertised, the flavor-singlet twisted free energy  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  depends on the holonomies  $\Omega_\mu$  via an adjoint representation trace, and at one-loop order in the chiral expansion only single-trace terms occur. (We discuss higher-loop contributions in Section V.)



We now examine implications of the result (20). First, as a check, note that expression (20), when evaluated with  $\Omega_\mu = \mathbf{1}$ , reduces in the chiral limit to the correct infinite-volume massless Bose gas result,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\beta, \infty; \Omega_\mu = \mathbf{1})|_{m_\pi=0} = (N_f^2 - 1) \frac{\pi^2}{90\beta^4}. \quad (21)$$

Alternatively, if one takes  $L_i \gg \beta$  so the spatial boundary conditions can be ignored, and sets  $\Omega_4 = \Gamma$ , then one finds

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\beta, \infty; \Omega_4 = \Gamma) = \frac{m_\pi^2 (N_f^2 - 1)}{2\pi^2 (N_f + 1)^2 \beta^2} \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-2} K_2(n(N_f + 1)\beta m_\pi). \quad (22)$$

Using the Bessel function asymptotics,  $K_\nu(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z}$ , one sees that the vanishing of adjoint traces of the flavor holonomy (in this single compactified dimension regime) has led to the advertised elimination of all finite  $\beta$  contributions involving winding numbers which are non-zero modulo  $N_f + 1$ . In the chiral limit, expression (22) reduces to

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\beta, \infty; \Omega_4 = \Gamma)|_{m_\pi=0} = (N_f^2 - 1) \frac{\pi^2}{90(N_f + 1)^4 \beta^4}. \quad (23)$$

We comment on the interpretation of this limiting result below. Returning to the general one-loop result (20), the following observations may be made:

- Imposing vanishing-adjoint BCs in all directions,  $\Omega_\mu = \Gamma$ , eliminates all leading  $O(e^{-m_\pi L_\mu})$  finite size free energy corrections to  $\tilde{F}$ , and consequently also to thermodynamic derivatives such as the flavor-averaged chiral condensate.
- Imposing vanishing-adjoint BCs in all directions does not remove all next-to-leading finite size corrections (arising from  $n \cdot n = 2$  terms), such as the winding number  $n_\mu = (1, -1, 0, 0)$  contribution. Nevertheless, vanishing-adjoint BCs reduce finite volume free energy corrections by exponentially large factors. In a hypercubic box, for example, the leading FV correction changes from  $O(e^{-m_\pi L})$  with the usual periodic boundary conditions to  $O(e^{-\sqrt{2}m_\pi L})$  with our vanishing-adjoint boundary conditions.
- When finite-size artifacts from a single compactified dimension dominate (e.g., when  $\beta \ll L_i$ ), then using the vanishing adjoint holonomy  $\Gamma$  for this dimension removes the first  $N_f$  finite volume corrections from the one-loop free energy leaving  $O(e^{-(N_f+1)m_\pi\beta})$  finite- $\beta$  artifacts. The one-loop free energy in this regime is given by expression (22), which is precisely the free energy for free massive pions on an enlarged circle of size  $(N_f+1)\beta$ .
- For large  $N_f$  (assuming one suitably scales  $N_c$  to retain asymptotic freedom and chiral symmetry breaking), pions are wholly insensitive to the thermal compactification, up to corrections which vanish as inverse powers of  $N_f$  in the chiral limit and fall exponentially with  $N_f$  for non-zero mass. The mechanism behind this ‘pionic volume independence’ is essentially the same as in the more familiar case of large  $N_c$  volume independence.

Further discussion of extensions of the above features, including higher loop contributions with flavor twisted boundary conditions and connections between flavor-twisted boundary conditions with large  $N_f$  and large  $N_c$  volume independence, is postponed until section V.

### III. PION PROPAGATOR

We now examine the effect of flavor-twisted boundary conditions on the pion propagator. Hadron propagators used for lattice QCD spectroscopy are two-point correlation functions, typically Fourier transformed in “space”, and hence depending on a spatial three-momentum and Euclidean time. Information on the particle spectrum is extracted from their exponential fall-off for large Euclidean time separations. If  $t$  is the time separation of the two insertions, then the propagator of, for example, pions contains both “forward” contributions proportional to  $e^{-m_\pi t}$  as well as “backwards” contributions proportional to  $e^{-m_\pi(\beta-t)}$ . These backwards-propagating thermal artifacts effectively limit the useful time separations when fitting correlation functions to half the Euclidean time extent.

Focusing, once again, on sufficiently large spacetime volumes and small pion masses, the pion propagator can be evaluated using chiral EFT. The effect of a background flavor holonomy, or flavor twisted boundary conditions, is to shift the allowed values of momenta. At tree level, the (spacetime Fourier-transformed) pion propagator is

$$C(n_\mu; \Omega)^A{}_B \equiv \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \langle \pi^A(0) \pi^{\bar{B}}(x) \rangle = \frac{\delta^A{}_B}{N^A \cdot N^A + m_\pi^2}, \quad (24)$$

where  $n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4$  and  $N_\mu^A \equiv (2\pi n_\mu + \alpha_\mu^A)/L_\mu$  are the discrete allowed wavevectors. One may evaluate the discrete Fourier transform needed to compute the position space pion propagator  $C^A{}_B(x_\mu; \Omega)$  using the same manipulations described above for the tadpole integral (19). One finds,

$$\begin{aligned} C(x_\mu; \Omega)^A{}_B &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \sum_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \frac{e^{-ix \cdot N^A}}{N^A \cdot N^A + m_\pi^2} \delta^A{}_B \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \sum_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \int_0^\infty dz e^{-z(N^A \cdot N^A + m_\pi^2)} e^{-ix \cdot N^A} \delta^A{}_B \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int_0^\infty dz e^{-zm_\pi^2} \prod_{\mu=1}^4 \vartheta \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_\mu^A/2\pi \\ -x_\mu/L_\mu \end{smallmatrix} \right] (4\pi i z L_\mu^{-2}) \delta^A{}_B \\ &= \int_0^\infty dz \frac{e^{-zm_\pi^2}}{(4\pi z)^2} \prod_{\mu=1}^4 \vartheta \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} x_\mu/L_\mu \\ \alpha_\mu^A/2\pi \end{smallmatrix} \right] (-L_\mu^2/(4\pi i z)) \delta^A{}_B \\ &= \frac{m_\pi}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} e^{i\alpha_\mu^A n_\mu} \frac{K_1(m_\pi |X(n)|)}{|X(n)|} \delta^A{}_B, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $X_\mu(n) \equiv x_\mu + n_\mu L_\mu$  and  $|X(n)| \equiv \sqrt{X(n) \cdot X(n)}$ . Note there is no summation of repeated Lorentz indices implied in  $n_\mu L_\mu$ . The result (25) is precisely a sum-of-images representation of the periodic pion propagator, and could have been written directly without starting from the Fourier representation. The effect of the background holonomy is merely to insert appropriate phase factors, depending on the winding numbers  $n_\mu$ , in each term. The propagator (25) depends, of course, on the specific pion flavor  $A$  and its corresponding twist angles  $\alpha_\mu^A$ . To obtain a flavor-singlet quantity, we define the flavor-averaged pion propagator

$$C_\pi(x_\mu; \Omega) \equiv \frac{1}{N_f^2 - 1} \sum_A C(x_\mu; \Omega)^A{}_A = \frac{m_\pi}{4\pi^2(N_f^2 - 1)} \sum_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \frac{K_1(m_\pi |X(n)|)}{|X(n)|} \text{tr}_{\text{Adj}} [\Omega^n]. \quad (26)$$



When  $m_\pi|x| \gg 1$  (and  $m_\pi L_\mu \gg 1$ ), the Bessel functions can be approximated by their asymptotic forms and one obtains

$$C_\pi(x_\mu; \Omega) \sim \frac{m_\pi^2 e^{-m_\pi|x|}}{(2\pi m_\pi|x|)^{3/2}} + \frac{m_\pi^2}{N_f^2-1} \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \frac{e^{-m_\pi|X(n)|}}{(2\pi m_\pi|X(n)|)^{3/2}} \text{trAdj}[\Omega^n]. \quad (27)$$

The second term is the finite volume (and finite  $\beta$ ) correction. Backwards-propagating thermal artifacts are most easily seen in terms in this sum with  $n_4 \neq 0$  and  $n_i = 0$ . Supposing  $x_4 \gg \max(|x_i|)$ , so that  $|X(n)| \approx |x_4 + n_4\beta|$ , these terms become

$$C_\pi(x_\mu; \Omega) \sim \frac{m_\pi^2 e^{-m_\pi|x_4|}}{(2\pi m_\pi|x_4|)^{3/2}} + \frac{m_\pi^2}{N_f^2-1} \sum_{n_4 \neq 0} \frac{e^{-m_\pi|x_4-n_4\beta|}}{(2\pi m_\pi|x_4-n_4\beta|)^{3/2}} \text{trAdj}[\Omega^{-n_4}] + \dots, \quad (28)$$

and the  $n_4 = 1$  term proportional to  $e^{-m_\pi|x_4-n_4\beta|}$  provides the leading backwards-propagating thermal artifact. If one imposes periodic boundary conditions on the pions,  $\Omega_\mu = 1$ , then forward and backward propagating contributions are comparable at  $x_4 \sim \beta/2$ . If one instead chooses  $\Omega_4 = \Gamma$ , and neglects the spatial holonomies (either because they are set to unity, or because  $L \gg \beta$ ), then the result (28), combined with the vanishing adjoint traces (6), shows that all backwards-propagating contributions (as well as fully wrapped forward contributions) are eliminated except those which involve wrappings by integer multiples of  $N_f+1$ .

In the chiral limit, the flavor-averaged pion propagator (26) reduces to

$$C_\pi(x_\mu; \Omega)|_{m_\pi=0} = \frac{1}{N_f^2-1} \sum_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \frac{\text{trAdj}[\Omega^n]}{4\pi^2 X(n)^2}. \quad (29)$$

If one chooses  $\Omega_4 = \Gamma$ , then in the regime  $L \gg \beta \gg |x|$  this reduces to

$$C_\pi(x_\mu; \Omega_4 = \Gamma)|_{m_\pi=0} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 x^2} + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{[(N_f+1)n\beta]^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 x^2} + \frac{1}{12(N_f+1)^2 \beta^2}, \quad (30)$$

showing that the residual finite- $\beta$  correction is suppressed by a factor of  $1/(N_f+1)^2$ .

Alternatively, if  $L \lesssim \beta$  and one chooses  $\Omega_i = \Gamma$  (in hopes of eliminating both finite  $\beta$  and finite spatial volume effects), then the result is more involved. The largest  $N_f$  backwards-propagating thermal artifacts (those coming from terms in the sum with  $|n_4| \leq N_f$  but  $n_i = 0$ ) are eliminated, but some backwards-propagating terms with  $n_i \neq 0$ , such as  $n_\mu = (0, 0, 1, -1)$ , survive. This precisely parallels the above-discussed situation with the free energy. When  $\Omega_\mu = \Gamma$ , finite-spacetime-volume effects in flavor averaged two-point functions in a hypercubic spacetime box of volume  $\mathcal{V}$  resemble those of a theory with untwisted boundary conditions in a box of volume  $4\mathcal{V}$ .

#### IV. PION MASS SHIFT

The tree-level pion propagator receives corrections arising from interactions. For sufficiently light pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone bosons, these corrections may be calculated using chiral EFT in a finite volume with twisted boundary conditions [5, 12, 22, 24]. The explicit form of finite volume corrections to the masses of individual pNGBs can be rather involved in the presence of TBCs. However, finite volume corrections to the average pNGB mass — a flavor-singlet quantity — can only depend on the holonomy via traces, analogously to Eq. (4), and will therefore be exponentially reduced from  $O(e^{-m_\pi L})$  to  $O(e^{-\sqrt{2}m_\pi L})$  (for a hypercubic box) by vanishing-adjoint twisted boundary conditions.

This general argument may be verified by explicit calculation. With interactions included, and twisted boundary conditions, the pion propagator can become non-diagonal in flavor,

$$C(k_\mu; \Omega)^A_B \equiv \mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{T} \cdot \langle \pi_A(0) \pi_B(x) \rangle = [(\mathbf{P}^2 + m_\pi^2 \mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{-1}]^A_B, \quad (31)$$

where  $k_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ ,  $\mathbf{1} \equiv \|\delta^A_B\|$  and  $\mathbf{P}_\mu \equiv \|P_\mu^A \delta^A_B\|$ , with  $P_\mu^A = (2\pi k_\mu + \alpha_\mu^A)/L_\mu$  the incoming pNGB momentum. The self-energy  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \equiv \|\Sigma(k)^A_B\|$  receives one-loop contributions from a single tadpole-type diagram, but with momentum-dependent vertex factors. The unbroken  $U(1)_{V_f}^{N_f-1}$  symmetry implies that there is no mixing of charged pions; if  $A \neq \bar{A}$  then  $C^A_B \propto \delta^A_B$ . But with generic commuting flavor holonomies no symmetry prevents the  $N_f-1$  uncharged pNGBs from mixing. The finite-volume one-loop self-energy, with twisted boundary conditions, reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(k)^A_B = & -\frac{1}{24f_\pi^2\beta V} \sum_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \sum_{C,D} \frac{\delta^C_D}{N^C \cdot N^C + m_\pi^2} \times \\ & \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{N_f} \delta^A_B \delta^D_C + d^A_{BE} d^D_{CE} \right) (m_\pi^2 + 2P^A \cdot P^A + 2N^C \cdot N^C) \right. \\ & + \left( \frac{2}{N_f} \delta^A_C \delta^D_B + d^A_{CE} d^D_{BE} \right) (m_\pi^2 - P^A \cdot P^A - N^C \cdot N^C - 6P^A \cdot N^C) \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{2}{N_f} g^{AD} g_{BC} + d^E_{BC} d^E_{AD} \right) (m_\pi^2 - P^A \cdot P^A - N^C \cdot N^C + 6P^A \cdot N^C) \right\}, \quad (32) \end{aligned}$$

with  $N_\mu^C \equiv (2\pi n_\mu + \alpha_\mu^C)/L_\mu$  the internal loop momentum. Terms involving numerators such as  $P^A \cdot N^C$ , linear in the pion loop momentum, vanish by spacetime symmetries in infinite volume, or in cubic compactifications with ordinary periodic boundary conditions. However, such terms need not vanish with generic flavor twisted boundary conditions, and lead to a linear momentum dependent shift in the self-energy [12, 22, 24]. As noted in these references, properly extracting the pion mass from the propagator requires care in the presence of TBCs. Near the poles of the propagator (when analytically continued in the momentum  $k$ ), the inverse propagator has the form

$$\mathbf{P}^2 + m_\pi^2 \mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \sim \mathbf{Z}^{-1/2} [(\mathbf{P}_\mu + \delta p_\mu)^2 + m_\pi^2 \mathbf{1} + \delta m_\pi^2] \mathbf{Z}^{-1/2}, \quad (33)$$

where  $m_\pi$  denotes the infinite volume pNGB mass,  $\delta m_\pi^2 \equiv \|(\delta m_\pi^2)^A_B\|$  is the FV mass correction, and  $\mathbf{Z} \equiv \|Z^A_B\|$  is a FV wavefunction renormalization factor. The FV momentum shift  $\delta p_\mu \equiv \|(\delta p_\mu)^A_B\|$  is independent of the external momentum  $k$ . This correction leads to a change in the relation between 4-momentum and 4-velocity, and hence is naturally regarded as causing a *velocity shift*.<sup>4</sup> Neglecting (for simplicity) the FV mass shift, the group 4-velocity  $u^\mu$  of a pion is given by

$$u = \frac{P + \delta p}{m_\pi} = u_\infty + \delta u, \quad (34)$$

and deviates from the infinite volume result  $u_\infty \equiv P/m_\pi$ . The velocity shift  $\delta u = \delta p/m_\pi$  vanishes with periodic or anti-periodic quark boundary conditions, as well as in the special case of  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_f}$ -symmetric twisted boundary conditions (discussed in the next section), but is otherwise non-zero.

The sums appearing in the FV self-energy (32), suitably regularized with their infinite volume limits subtracted, can be computed using the regularized tadpole  $T(s, \alpha_\mu)$  given in Eq. (19) plus one related sum,

$$T_\mu(s, \alpha_\mu) \equiv \sum_{n_\mu} \frac{N_\mu}{(N \cdot N + m_\pi^2)^s} = \frac{L_\mu}{2(s-1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_\mu} T(s-1, \alpha_\mu). \quad (35)$$

<sup>4</sup> This shift has previously been described as a renormalization of the twist angle [24], and as a renormalization of the field momentum in [12]; see also [22] for another perspective. However, the twist angles determine the quantization of momenta in the box, and this quantization cannot be affected by interactions. Thus, we find more helpful our above characterization as a “velocity shift”. The FV correction leading to the velocity shift arises in a calculation of the influence of interactions on the energy of an excitation, not its momentum.

With these results, the mass shift, wavefunction renormalization, and velocity shift corrections to the pion propagator can readily be expressed in terms of the holonomy. One finds that the velocity shift correction is given by

$$(\delta u_\mu)^A_B = \frac{m_\pi}{2(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \sum_{C,D} \left[ \frac{2}{N_f} (\delta^A_C \delta^D_B - g^{AD} g_{BC}) + d^A_{CE} d_B^{DE} - d^E_{BC} d_E^{AD} \right] \\ \times \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \frac{n_\mu L_\mu}{|nL|^2} K_2(m_\pi |nL|) i [\Omega^n]^C_D, \quad (36)$$

where repeated Lorentz indices are not summed in  $n_\mu L_\mu$ . The symmetries of  $d$ -symbols, the vanishing twist angles of neutral pNGBs, and the fact that the adjoint representation holonomy is diagonal in our basis, together imply that the velocity shift vanishes for neutral pNGBs (when  $A = \bar{A}$  and  $B = \bar{B}$ ). Generic non-zero twist angles do lead to velocity shifts for charged pNGBs (for which  $A \neq \bar{A}$ ), but the unbroken  $U(1)_{V^{N_f-1}}$  Cartan flavor symmetry implies that the velocity shift can only be non-zero when  $A$  and  $B$  are charge conjugates of each other. Hence  $(\delta u_\mu)^A_B = (\delta u_\mu)^A_A \delta^A_B$ , and no mixing among pNGBs is induced by the velocity shift. For any choice of twisted boundary conditions, the flavor-averaged velocity shift vanishes identically,

$$\overline{\delta u_\mu} \equiv \frac{\text{tr}_{\text{Adj}} [\delta u_\mu]}{N_f^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{N_f^2 - 1} \sum_A (\delta u_\mu)^A_A = 0. \quad (37)$$

The mass corrections to individual pNGBs can similarly be expressed in terms of the holonomy,

$$(\delta m_\pi^2)^A_B = \frac{m_\pi^4}{2(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \sum_{C,D} \left[ \frac{2}{N_f} (\delta^A_B \delta^D_C - \delta^A_C \delta^D_B - g^{AD} g_{BC}) \right. \\ \left. + d^A_{BE} d_C^{DE} - d^A_{CE} d_B^{DE} - d^E_{BC} d_E^{AD} \right] \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \frac{K_1(m_\pi |nL|)}{m_\pi |nL|} [\Omega^n]^C_D. \quad (38)$$

Although not obvious from Eq. (38), all dependence on the twist angles cancels in the mass shift for charged pNGBs. We have verified this via explicit evaluation for  $N_f \leq 10$ , but do not have a general argument. The masses of all the charged pNGBs remain degenerate, for any choice of the holonomies. The mass shift  $\delta m_\pi^2$  can be non-diagonal only within the subspace of neutral pNGBs. In other words, flavor twisted boundary conditions generically induce mixing among neutral pNGBs, but cannot mix pNGBs which are charged under  $U(1)_{V^{N_f-1}}$ . The mixing vanishes with periodic and anti-periodic boundary conditions, as well as with  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_f}$ -symmetric boundary conditions.

The flavor averaged pNGB mass shift simplifies dramatically and has the expected dependence on adjoint traces of the holonomy,

$$\overline{\delta m_\pi^2} \equiv \frac{\text{tr}_{\text{Adj}} [\delta m_\pi^2]}{N_f^2 - 1} = \frac{(m_\pi^2/4\pi f_\pi)^2}{N_f(N_f^2 - 1)} \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \frac{K_1(m_\pi |nL|)}{m_\pi |nL|} \text{tr}_{\text{Adj}} [\Omega^n]. \quad (39)$$

All the conclusions regarding vanishing-adjoint BCs detailed above for the free energy also hold for the flavor averaged pNGB mass. In particular, vanishing-adjoint BCs applied only in the time direction will exponentially reduce the one-loop finite  $\beta$  artifacts to  $O(e^{-(N_f+1)m_\pi\beta})$ . For very light pions, when  $m_\pi/f \ll 1$  and  $m_\pi\beta \ll 1$ , vanishing-adjoint boundary conditions in time lead to a finite- $\beta$  mass shift,

$$\overline{\delta m_\pi^2} \sim \frac{m_\pi^2/(4\pi f_\pi)^2}{N_f(N_f+1)^2\beta^2}, \quad (40)$$

which is suppressed by  $1/(N_f+1)^2$  [in addition to the  $1/(N_f f_\pi^2)$  factor which scales as  $1/(N_c N_f)$  for large  $N_c$  and  $N_f$ ]. More generally, applying vanishing-adjoint BCs in all spacetime directions removes the leading  $n \cdot n = 1$  shell of finite volume corrections, thereby reducing FV artifacts to  $O(e^{-\sqrt{2}m_\pi L})$  (for a symmetric box) in all directions.

Specializing the above results to the specific case of  $N_f = 3$ , one finds

$$(\delta u_\mu)_{\pi^\pm} = \pm \frac{m_\pi}{32\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} [\sin(n \cdot \alpha_{K^0}) - \sin(n \cdot \alpha_{K^+}) - 2 \sin(n \cdot \alpha_{\pi^+})] \frac{n_\mu L_\mu}{|nL|^2} K_2(m_\pi |nL|), \quad (41a)$$

$$(\delta u_\mu)_{K^\pm} = \mp \frac{m_\pi}{32\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} [\sin(n \cdot \alpha_{K^0}) + 2 \sin(n \cdot \alpha_{K^+}) + \sin(n \cdot \alpha_{\pi^+})] \frac{n_\mu L_\mu}{|nL|^2} K_2(m_\pi |nL|), \quad (41b)$$

$$(\delta u_\mu)_{K^0, \bar{K}^0} = \mp \frac{m_\pi}{32\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} [2 \sin(n \cdot \alpha_{K^0}) + \sin(n \cdot \alpha_{K^+}) - \sin(n \cdot \alpha_{\pi^+})] \frac{n_\mu L_\mu}{|nL|^2} K_2(m_\pi |nL|), \quad (41c)$$

where again repeated spacetime indices are not summed in  $n_\mu L_\mu$ . For  $N_f = 3$ , the charged meson mass shift matrix turns out not depend on the twist angles whatsoever, and thus is the same for all the charged mesons,

$$\delta m_\pi^2|_{\text{charged pNGBs}} = \frac{m_\pi^4}{48\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \frac{K_1(m_\pi |nL|)}{m_\pi |nL|}, \quad (42)$$

while the mass shift in the neutral  $\pi^0, \eta$  pNGB sector takes the form

$$\delta m_\pi^2|_{\pi^0, \eta} = \frac{m_\pi^4}{48\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \sum'_{n_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \begin{pmatrix} -2+3 \cos(n \cdot \alpha_{\pi^+}) & \sqrt{3} [\cos(n \cdot \alpha_{K^+}) - \cos(n \cdot \alpha_{K^0})] \\ \sqrt{3} [\cos(n \cdot \alpha_{K^+}) - \cos(n \cdot \alpha_{K^0})] & -2+2 \cos(n \cdot \alpha_{K^0}) + 2 \cos(n \cdot \alpha_{K^+}) - \cos(n \cdot \alpha_{\pi^+}) \end{pmatrix} \times \frac{K_1(m_\pi |nL|)}{m_\pi |nL|}. \quad (43)$$

Here  $\alpha_{\pi^+}^\mu = \alpha_1^\mu - \alpha_2^\mu$ ,  $\alpha_{K^+}^\mu = \alpha_1^\mu - \alpha_3^\mu$ ,  $\alpha_{K^0}^\mu = \alpha_2^\mu - \alpha_3^\mu$  are the twists for the  $\pi^+$ ,  $K^+$ , and  $K^0$  particles written in terms of the fundamental representation quark twists. The neutral mass shift matrix (43) reduces to a multiple of the identity for vanishing twist angles,  $\alpha_{\pi^+}^\mu = \alpha_{K^+}^\mu = \alpha_{K^0}^\mu = 0$ , (corresponding to periodic (or antiperiodic) quark boundary conditions), or when  $\alpha_{\pi^+}^\mu = -2\pi/3$ ,  $\alpha_{K^+}^\mu = -4\pi/3$ , and  $\alpha_{K^0}^\mu = -2\pi/3$ , corresponding to  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric boundary conditions on quarks. The off-diagonal mass shift terms, leading to  $\pi^0$ - $\eta$  mixing, are non-zero for our vanishing-adjoint boundary conditions,  $\alpha_{\pi^+}^\mu = -\pi/2$ ,  $\alpha_{K^+}^\mu = \pi/2$ ,  $\alpha_{K^0}^\mu = \pi$ .

Our explicit  $N_f = 3$  expressions for the velocity shifts (41), the charged meson mass shift (42), and the diagonal elements of the neutral meson mass shift matrix (43) agree with the corresponding results in ref. [24]. However, the authors of ref. [24] did not discuss the off-diagonal terms associated with  $\pi^0$ - $\eta$  mixing.

## V. HIGHER LOOPS AND THE $1/N_f$ EXPANSION

The basic observation underlying the utility of vanishing-adjoint-trace boundary conditions for suppression of finite volume artifacts is the association between factors of  $e^{-m_\pi L}$  and traces of the flavor holonomy in the leading finite volume corrections to flavor singlet observables (for

sufficiently light pions in large boxes). The leading FV corrections are associated with a single excitation wrapping once around a compactified direction, and the amplitude for such propagation will necessarily involve an exponential of the particle mass times propagation distance, multiplied by the holonomy appropriate for the flavor representation of the particle. As long as the lightest hadronic state transforms in the adjoint flavor representation, then adjoint traces of the flavor holonomy will control the leading finite volume effects in exactly the same manner as in our explicit examples. Reduction of  $O(e^{-m_\pi L})$  finite volume effects to  $O(e^{-\sqrt{2}m_\pi L})$  for flavor-singlet observables in hypercubic volumes is a robust result that holds at all orders in chiral EFT (provided no other hadrons are lighter than  $\sqrt{2}m_\pi$  and thus dominate the residual finite volume effects).

As we have seen, with a single relevant compactified direction (e.g.,  $\beta \ll L_i$ ) vanishing-adjoint twisted boundary conditions can eliminate much more than just the leading winding number  $\pm 1$  terms; they can remove FV artifacts involving arbitrary windings which are non-zero modulo  $N_f + 1$ . This was seen explicitly in our one-pion-loop results for the free energy (22) and flavor-averaged pion mass (39)-(40), as well as the tree-level position space pion propagator (28)-(30). However, this dramatic reduction in sub-leading finite volume artifacts, eliminating all contributions from winding numbers  $|n| = 2, \dots, N_f$ , is not a general result. At higher loop orders there exist sub-leading finite volume effects in which the equality between the total number of powers of  $e^{-m_\pi L}$  and the magnitude of the *net* winding number no longer holds. This happens in contributions involving an excitation which loops around the circle in one direction, interacts, and then loops around the circle in the opposite direction. However, these surviving sub-leading finite volume corrections, involving winding numbers  $1 < |n| \leq N_f$ , are suppressed by factors of  $O(1/N_f^2)$ . This suppression is best understood as an aspect of large  $N$  volume independence, which we briefly recap.

A general feature of  $SU(N_c)$  gauge theories, when compactified on a torus, is large  $N_c$  volume independence [29, 51, 52].<sup>5</sup> One aspect of this phenomena, for theories compactified on a circle of size  $L$ , is that planar diagrams contributing to local single-trace observables depend on the compactification size  $L$  only via the combination  $N_c L$ , provided the  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_c}$  center symmetry is unbroken [25, 26, 51, 56, 57]. More generally, at a non-perturbative level, the leading large  $N_c$  behavior of single trace expectation values, or connected correlation functions of such operators, is volume independent, with corrections to this limit vanishing as  $O(1/N_c^2)$ .<sup>6</sup>

In our context, we have an  $SU(N_c)$  gauge theory with an  $SU(N_f)$  global flavor symmetry. When focusing attention on flavor singlet observables, one may equally well regard the theory as the limit of an  $SU(N_c) \times SU(N_f)$  gauge theory, in which the coupling of the  $SU(N_f)$  gauge group is sent to zero. The same structure of large  $N$  volume independence applies to such product gauge theories (when  $N_c$  and  $N_f$  both become large), provided the  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_c} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_f}$  center symmetry is unbroken.<sup>7</sup> In a perturbative context, this is the same as requiring that the flavor holonomy around the compactified direction have a  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_f}$  symmetric distribution of eigenvalues (and likewise for the color holonomy). In the limit of zero flavor coupling, this is the same as simply choosing a non-dynamical  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_f}$ -symmetric flavor holonomy:

$$\Omega_{\text{center-sym}} = (-1)^{N_f-1} \text{diag}(1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{N_f-1}), \quad (44)$$

where  $\omega \equiv e^{2\pi i/N_f}$ . This is similar, but not identical, to our vanishing adjoint trace holonomy (5). The  $\mathbb{Z}_{N_f}$ -symmetric holonomy (44) sets to zero fundamental representation traces with winding numbers of the holonomy which are non-zero modulo  $N_f$ . Adjoint representation traces do not vanish but are  $1/N_f^2$  suppressed relative to their typical  $O(N_f^2)$  scale,

$$\text{tr}_{\text{Adj}} \Omega_{\text{center-sym}}^k = -1 \quad \text{if } k \bmod N_f \neq 0. \quad (45)$$

<sup>5</sup> There is also a notion of large  $N$  volume independence in vector-type field theories [32, 53–55].

<sup>6</sup> See refs. [29] for a more precise characterization of the set of operators to which this statement applies.

<sup>7</sup> We assume that  $N_c$  is scaled with  $N_f$  in such a manner that the theory remains in an asymptotically free confining phase.

In other words, for large  $N_f$  and fixed winding numbers, traces of the center-symmetric holonomy (44) and our vanishing-adjoint holonomy (5) differ only by relative  $O(1/N_f^2)$  corrections. Consequently, all the implications of large  $N$  volume independence equally apply to our vanishing adjoint boundary conditions, up to relative  $1/N_f^2$  corrections. In particular, if one uses the center-symmetric twist (44) instead of our vanishing-adjoint twist (5), then the leading  $O(e^{-m_\pi L})$  finite-volume corrections will be reduced by a factor of  $1/(N_f^2-1)$ , but not eliminated. Indeed, for  $N_f = 2$  this reduction of the finite-volume artifacts by a factor of 3 with center-symmetric boundary conditions was observed in ref. [2] (which referred to the center-symmetric twist as the “i-periodic” boundary condition).

The above discussion applies to an  $S^1$  compactification. As seen in earlier sections, if the theory is compactified on a multidimensional torus with the same vanishing-adjoint twisted boundary conditions in all directions,  $\Omega_\mu = \Gamma$ , then one can eliminate the leading exponential  $O(e^{-m_\pi L})$  artifacts in flavor singlet observables, but not the next  $O(e^{-\sqrt{2}m_\pi L})$  artifacts. However, if  $N_f$  is a suitably chosen composite integer, then it is possible to choose different (but commuting) twists for each direction in a manner which, for large  $N_f$ , independently eliminates FV contributions involving windings up to a given order about each direction, up to  $O(1/N_f^2)$  residuals. By using a sequence of increasing composite values of  $N_f$ , with suitably chosen  $N_f$ -dependent holonomies in each direction, flavor singlet observables should exhibit volume independence in the large  $N_f$  limit (with the ratio  $N_f/N_c$  held fixed). For example, if  $N_f = K^D$  for some integer  $K > 1$ , then the  $(\mathbb{Z}_K)^D$  symmetric choice

$$\Omega_1 = Z_K \otimes 1_K \otimes 1_K \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_K, \quad (46a)$$

$$\Omega_2 = 1_K \otimes Z_K \otimes 1_K \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_K, \quad (46b)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Omega_D = \underbrace{1_K \otimes 1_K \otimes 1_K \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_K}_{D \text{ factors}}, \quad (46c)$$

with  $1_K$  denoting a  $K$ -dimensional identity matrix and  $Z_K \equiv (-1)^{K-1} \text{diag}(1, \gamma, \gamma^2, \dots, \gamma^{K-1})$  a diagonal matrix with all  $K$ 'th roots of unity, produces vanishing fundamental representation traces unless *all* winding number components are multiples of  $K$ ,  $\text{tr}_F[\Omega^n] = 0$  if any  $n_\mu \not\equiv 0 \pmod{K}$ . Therefore, the corresponding adjoint representation traces are all  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ ,  $\text{tr}_{\text{Adj}}[\Omega^n] = -1$  if any  $n_\mu \not\equiv 0 \pmod{K}$ , and not  $O(N_f^2)$ . So, for example, if  $N_f = 2^4$ , then for theories on  $T^4$  the imposition of such flavor twisted boundary conditions will suppress by a factor of 15 finite volume effects from all contributions involving odd winding numbers about any dimension. Although not helpful for QCD with three light quarks, this idea should be useful in lattice studies of the conformal window which explore the behavior of gauge theories with large values of  $N_f$ , many of which are composite numbers.

## VI. DISCUSSION

In compactified theories, most hadronic properties differ from their infinite volume values by corrections which, in theories with a mass gap, are exponentially dependent on the mass of the lightest particle. Appropriately chosen flavor-twisted boundary conditions can remove these leading exponential corrections from flavor-singlet observables, provided the lightest particle is a flavor non-singlet. The possibility of achieving exponential reduction of finite volume effects with TBCs has been previously demonstrated for one- and two-baryon systems in ref. [2]. This work describes how the vanishing-adjoint symmetry condition guarantees exponential reduction of finite volume effects in generic flavor-singlet observables. We have explicitly demonstrated at one-loop that



vanishing-adjoint boundary conditions reduce finite temperature corrections to the free energy and the flavor-averaged pNGB mass from  $O(e^{-m_\pi\beta})$  to  $O(e^{-(N_f+1)m_\pi\beta})$  when TBCs are imposed on just the time direction, or more generally reduce finite volume corrections from  $O(e^{-m_\pi L})$  to  $O(e^{-\sqrt{2}m_\pi L})$  if vanishing-adjoint BCs are adopted in all directions in hypercubic volume. Analogous results for FV artifact reduction of  $N_f = 2$  flavor-averaged baryon masses with vanishing-adjoint BCs were previously observed in ref. [2].

The utility of vanishing-adjoint boundary conditions to reduce finite volume artifacts is by no means limited to the observables we have explicitly calculated, or to theories near the chiral limit. What is required is that one considers a theory where the lightest hadronic state transforms in the adjoint representation, with the theory compactified in a box which is large compared to the Compton wavelength of this excitation. Our results may be understood as arising from background flavor gauge invariance, which ensures that flavor singlet observables can only depend on traces of the flavor holonomy. Since pNGBs transform as flavor adjoints, all  $O(e^{-m_\pi L})$  FV corrections arising from pNGB loops will depend on the adjoint trace of the holonomy, and are removed by vanishing-adjoint boundary conditions. For hypercubic compactifications, with identical TBCs in all directions, finite volume artifacts are reduced to  $O(e^{-\sqrt{2}m_\pi L})$  (assuming no other particles have masses below  $\sqrt{2}m_\pi$ ). This same phenomena should apply to generic flavor-singlet observables, such as other flavor-averaged masses and matrix elements, as long as the observable of interest is not probing multi-particle states very near or above scattering thresholds.

For scattering or near-threshold bound states, a small relative momentum or binding momentum, instead of the pNGB mass, may control the leading FV effects [58–60]. The deuteron binding energy provides an explicit example where examination of the flavor twist dependence [2] has shown that vanishing-adjoint BCs do not remove the leading FV artifacts which depend exponentially on the deuteron binding momentum. Other choices of TBCs can be used to reduce FV artifacts specifically in deuteron binding energy calculations [2], and extensions of these TBCs for other systems are being explored [3].

It is important to note that the effect of TBCs on binding energies and scattering parameters extracted from lattice simulations is calculable and has already been explored for many systems. The use of vanishing-adjoint BCs does not preclude scattering parameter extraction as long as TBCs are properly included in all FV quantization conditions. Removal of  $O(e^{-m_\pi L_\mu})$  artifacts may be of significant utility for extractions of scattering parameters that measure power law volume dependence under the assumption that  $O(e^{-m_\pi L_\mu})$  artifacts are negligible. Detailed studies of vanishing-adjoint boundary conditions in the two-body sector will be needed to understand these effects and are left to future work.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to S. R. Beane, D. B. Kaplan, M. J. Savage, E. Shaghoulain, S. R. Sharpe, B. C. Tiburzi, and E. Witten for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy under grants DE-FG02-00ER-41132 (A.C.), DE-FG02-04ER41338 (S.S.), DE-FG02-00ER41132 (M.L.W) and DE-SC0011637 (L.G.Y).

- 
- [1] Zohreh Davoudi and Martin J. Savage, “Improving the Volume Dependence of Two-Body Binding Energies Calculated with Lattice QCD,” *Phys. Rev.* **D84**, 114502 (2011), [arXiv:1108.5371 \[hep-lat\]](#).
  - [2] Raul A. Briceno, Zohreh Davoudi, Thomas C. Luu, and Martin J. Savage, “Two-baryon systems with twisted boundary conditions,” *Phys. Rev.* **D89**, 074509 (2014), [arXiv:1311.7686 \[hep-lat\]](#).

- [3] Christopher Körber and Thomas Luu, “Applying twisted boundary conditions for few-body nuclear systems,” *Phys. Rev.* **C93**, 054002 (2016), [arXiv:1511.06598 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [4] Paulo F. Bedaque, “Aharonov-Bohm effect and nucleon nucleon phase shifts on the lattice,” *Phys. Lett.* **B593**, 82–88 (2004), [arXiv:nucl-th/0402051 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [5] C. T. Sachrajda and G. Villadoro, “Twisted boundary conditions in lattice simulations,” *Phys. Lett.* **B609**, 73–85 (2005), [arXiv:hep-lat/0411033 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [6] G. M. de Divitiis, R. Petronzio, and N. Tantalo, “On the discretization of physical momenta in lattice QCD,” *Phys. Lett.* **B595**, 408–413 (2004), [arXiv:hep-lat/0405002 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [7] Giulia M. de Divitiis and Nazario Tantalo, “Non-leptonic two-body decay amplitudes from finite volume calculations,” (2004), [arXiv:hep-lat/0409154 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [8] D. Guadagnoli, F. Meschia, and S. Simula, “Lattice study of semileptonic form factors with twisted boundary conditions,” *Phys. Rev.* **D73**, 114504 (2006), [arXiv:hep-lat/0512020 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [9] Thomas Mehen and Brian C. Tiburzi, “Quarks with twisted boundary conditions in the epsilon regime,” *Phys. Rev.* **D72**, 014501 (2005), [arXiv:hep-lat/0505014 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [10] Brian C. Tiburzi, “Flavor twisted boundary conditions and the nucleon axial current,” *Phys. Lett.* **B617**, 40–48 (2005), [arXiv:hep-lat/0504002 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [11] Brian C. Tiburzi, “Flavor twisted boundary conditions and isovector form factors,” *Phys. Lett.* **B641**, 342–349 (2006), [arXiv:hep-lat/0607019 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [12] F. J. Jiang and B. C. Tiburzi, “Flavor twisted boundary conditions, pion momentum, and the pion electromagnetic form factor,” *Phys. Lett.* **B645**, 314–321 (2007), [arXiv:hep-lat/0610103 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [13] S. Simula (ETMC), “Pseudo-scalar meson form factors with maximally twisted Wilson fermions at  $N_f = 2$ ,” *Proceedings, 25th International Symposium on Lattice field theory (Lattice 2007): Regensburg, Germany, July 30-August 4, 2007*, PoS **LAT2007**, 371 (2007), [arXiv:0710.0097 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [14] Jie Hu, Fu-Jiun Jiang, and Brian C. Tiburzi, “Pion Polarizabilities and Volume Effects in Lattice QCD,” *Phys. Rev.* **D77**, 014502 (2008), [arXiv:0709.1955 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [15] Fu-Jiun Jiang and Brian C. Tiburzi, “Flavor twisted boundary conditions in the Breit frame,” *Phys. Rev.* **D78**, 037501 (2008), [arXiv:0806.4371 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [16] F. J. Jiang and B. C. Tiburzi, “Flavor Twisted Boundary Conditions and the Nucleon Vector Current,” *Phys. Rev.* **D78**, 114505 (2008), [arXiv:0810.1495 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [17] P. A. Boyle, J. M. Flynn, A. Jüttner, C. Kelly, H. Pedroso de Lima, C. M. Maynard, C. T. Sachrajda, and J. M. Zanotti, “The pion’s electromagnetic form factor at small momentum transfer in full lattice QCD,” *JHEP* **07**, 112 (2008), [arXiv:0804.3971 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [18] S. Aoki, R. Horsley, T. Izubuchi, Y. Nakamura, D. Pleiter, P. E. L. Rakow, G. Schierholz, and J. Zanotti, “The electric dipole moment of the nucleon from simulations at imaginary vacuum angle  $\theta$ ,” (2008), [arXiv:0808.1428 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [19] Paulo F. Bedaque and Andre Walker-Loud, “Restless pions from orbifold boundary conditions: An Explicit construction for noise reduction in lattice QCD,” (2008), [arXiv:0811.2127 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [20] Peter A. Boyle, Jonathan M. Flynn, Andreas Jüttner, Christopher Sachrajda, Karthee Sivalingam, and James M. Zanotti, “Kaon semileptonic decays near the physical point,” *Proceedings, 30th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (Lattice 2012): Cairns, Australia, June 24-29, 2012*, PoS **LATTICE2012**, 112 (2012), [arXiv:1212.3188](#).
- [21] Bastian B. Brandt, Andreas Jüttner, and Hartmut Wittig, “The electromagnetic form factor of the pion in two-flavour lattice QCD,” *Proceedings, 10th Conference on Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum (Confinement X): Munich, Germany, October 8-12, 2012*, (2013), [PoSConfinementX,112(2012)], [arXiv:1301.3513 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [22] Johan Bijnens and Johan Relefors, “Masses, decay constants and electromagnetic form factors with twisted boundary conditions,” *JHEP* **05**, 015 (2014), [arXiv:1402.1385 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [23] Christoph Lehner and Taku Izubuchi, “Towards the large volume limit - A method for lattice QCD + QED simulations,” *Proceedings, 32nd International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (Lattice 2014): Brookhaven, NY, USA, June 23-28, 2014*, PoS **LATTICE2014**, 164 (2015), [arXiv:1503.04395 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [24] Gilberto Colangelo and Alessio Vaghi, “Pseudoscalar mesons in a finite cubic volume with twisted boundary conditions,” *JHEP* **07**, 134 (2016), [arXiv:1607.00916 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [25] Antonio Gonzalez-Arroyo and M. Okawa, “The twisted Eguchi-Kawai model: a reduced model for large  $N$  lattice gauge theory,” *Phys. Rev.* **D27**, 2397 (1983).

- [26] Antonio Gonzalez-Arroyo and Masanori Okawa, “Large  $N$  reduction with the twisted Eguchi-Kawai model,” *JHEP* **07**, 043 (2010), [arXiv:1005.1981 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] Margarita Garcia Perez, Antonio Gonzalez-Arroyo, and Masanori Okawa, “Volume independence for Yang–Mills fields on the twisted torus,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **29**, 1445001 (2014), [arXiv:1406.5655 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] Mithat Unsal and Laurence G. Yaffe, “Center-stabilized Yang-Mills theory: Confinement and large  $N$  volume independence,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 065035 (2008), [arXiv:0803.0344 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] Mithat Unsal and Laurence G. Yaffe, “Large- $N$  volume independence in conformal and confining gauge theories,” *JHEP* **08**, 030 (2010), [arXiv:1006.2101 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] Erich Poppitz and Tin Sulejmanpasic, “(S)QCD on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ : Screening of Polyakov loop by fundamental quarks and the demise of semi-classics,” *JHEP* **09**, 128 (2013), [arXiv:1307.1317 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] Aleksey Cherman, Thomas Schaefer, and Mithat Unsal, “Chiral Lagrangian from duality and monopole operators in compactified QCD,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 081601 (2016), [arXiv:1604.06108 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] Tin Sulejmanpasic, “Global symmetries, volume independence and continuity,” (2016), [arXiv:1610.04009 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, “Light Quarks at Low Temperatures,” *Phys. Lett. B* **184**, 83–88 (1987).
- [34] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, “Thermodynamics of Chiral Symmetry,” *Phys. Lett. B* **188**, 477–481 (1987).
- [35] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, “Spontaneously Broken Symmetries: Effective Lagrangians at Finite Volume,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **307**, 763–778 (1988).
- [36] H. Leutwyler, “Energy Levels of Light Quarks Confined to a Box,” *Phys. Lett. B* **189**, 197–202 (1987).
- [37] H. Leutwyler and Andrei V. Smilga, “Spectrum of Dirac operator and role of winding number in QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 5607–5632 (1992).
- [38] Stephen R. Sharpe, “Quenched chiral logarithms,” *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 3146–3168 (1992), [arXiv:hep-lat/9205020 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [39] Gilberto Colangelo and Stephan Durr, “The Pion mass in finite volume,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **33**, 543–553 (2004), [arXiv:hep-lat/0311023 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [40] Damir Becirevic and Giovanni Villadoro, “Impact of the finite volume effects on the chiral behavior of  $f(K)$  and  $B(K)$ ,” *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 054010 (2004), [arXiv:hep-lat/0311028 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [41] A. Ali Khan *et al.* (QCDSF-UKQCD), “The Nucleon mass in  $N(f) = 2$  lattice QCD: Finite size effects from chiral perturbation theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **689**, 175–194 (2004), [arXiv:hep-lat/0312030 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [42] Silas R. Beane, “Nucleon masses and magnetic moments in a finite volume,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 034507 (2004), [arXiv:hep-lat/0403015 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [43] Silas R. Beane and Martin J. Savage, “Baryon axial charge in a finite volume,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 074029 (2004), [arXiv:hep-ph/0404131 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [44] William Detmold and Martin J. Savage, “Nucleon properties at finite volume: The epsilon-prime regime,” *Phys. Lett. B* **599**, 32–42 (2004), [arXiv:hep-lat/0407008 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [45] Paulo F. Bedaque, Harald W. Griesshammer, and Gautam Rupak, “A Nucleon in a tiny box,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 054015 (2005), [arXiv:hep-lat/0407009 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [46] Daniel Arndt and C. J. David Lin, “Heavy meson chiral perturbation theory in finite volume,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 014503 (2004), [arXiv:hep-lat/0403012 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [47] Gilberto Colangelo, Stephan Durr, and Christoph Haefeli, “Finite volume effects for meson masses and decay constants,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **721**, 136–174 (2005), [arXiv:hep-lat/0503014 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [48] Gilberto Colangelo, Andreas Fuhrer, and Christoph Haefeli, “The pion and proton mass in finite volume,” *Hadron physics, proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Hadron Physics, University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus, 14-17 September 2005*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **153**, 41–48 (2006), [arXiv:hep-lat/0512002 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [49] Paulo F. Bedaque, Ikuro Sato, and Andre Walker-Loud, “Finite volume corrections to  $\pi\pi$  scattering,” *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 074501 (2006), [arXiv:hep-lat/0601033 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [50] S. R. Beane, E. Chang, W. Detmold, H. W. Lin, T. C. Luu, K. Orginos, A. Parreno, M. J. Savage, A. Torok, and A. Walker-Loud, “High Statistics Analysis using Anisotropic Clover Lattices: (IV) Volume Dependence of Light Hadron Masses,” *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 014507 (2011), [arXiv:1104.4101 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [51] Tohru Eguchi and Hikaru Kawai, “Reduction of dynamical degrees of freedom in the large  $N$  gauge theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1063 (1982).

- [52] Gyan Bhanot, Urs M. Heller, and Herbert Neuberger, “The quenched Eguchi-Kawai model,” *Phys. Lett.* **B113**, 47–50 (1982).
- [53] Gerald V. Dunne and Mithat Ünsal, “Resurgence and trans-series in quantum field theory: The  $CP(N-1)$  model,” *JHEP* **11**, 170 (2012), [arXiv:1210.2423 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [54] Gerald V. Dunne and Mithat Ünsal, “Continuity and Resurgence: towards a continuum definition of the  $\mathbb{CP}(N-1)$  model,” *Phys. Rev.* **D87**, 025015 (2013), [arXiv:1210.3646 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [55] Gerald V. Dunne and Mithat Ünsal, “Resurgence and dynamics of  $O(N)$  and Grassmannian sigma models,” *JHEP* **09**, 199 (2015), [arXiv:1505.07803 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [56] David J. Gross and Yoshihisa Kitazawa, “A quenched momentum prescription for large  $N$  theories,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B206**, 440 (1982).
- [57] Aleksey Cherman, Daniele Dorigoni, and Mithat Ünsal, “Decoding perturbation theory using resurgence: Stokes phenomena, new saddle points and Lefschetz thimbles,” *JHEP* **10**, 056 (2015), [arXiv:1403.1277 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [58] M. Luscher, “Volume dependence of the energy spectrum in massive quantum field theories. 1. Stable particle states,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104**, 177 (1986).
- [59] S. R. Beane, P. F. Bedaque, A. Parreno, and M. J. Savage, “Two nucleons on a lattice,” *Phys. Lett.* **B585**, 106–114 (2004), [arXiv:hep-lat/0312004 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [60] M. Luscher, “Volume dependence of the energy spectrum in massive quantum field theories. 2. Scattering states,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **105**, 153–188 (1986).